



CONSERVATION COMMISSION

PUBLIC MEETING

2 Bathing Beach Road

Nantucket, Massachusetts 02554

www.nantucket-ma.gov

Thursday, April 8, 2021 – 5:00 p.m.

*This meeting was held via remote participation using ZOOM and YouTube,
Pursuant to Governor Baker’s March 12, 2020 Order Regarding Open Meeting Law*

Commissioners: Ashley Erisman (Chair), Ian Golding (Vice Chair), David LaFleur, Joe Topham,
Seth Engelbourg, Maureen Phillips, and Mark Beale

Called to order at 5:00 p.m. by Ms. Erisman

Staff in attendance: Jeff Carlson, Natural Resources Director; Joanne Dodd, Natural Resources Coordinator

Attending Members: Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale

*Matter has not been heard

I. PUBLIC MEETING

A. Announcements

B. Public Comment:

Burton Balkind – Again asked about covering of the geotubes. He sent Mr. Balkind an email about that. Also, he asked about work south of the geotube; that is in conjunction with an enforcement order at 79 Baxter Road.

II. PUBLIC HEARING

A. Notice of Intent:

1. Nantucket Conservation Foundation – Capaum Pond (31-12) SE48-3400 (**Cont. 04/22/21**)
2. Town of Nantucket (DPW) – Town Wide (Various) SE48-3366 (**Cont. 04/22/21**)
3. Town of Nantucket – Cow Pond Lane (55-Variou) SE48- (**Cont. 04/22/21**)
4. *Nantucket Islands Land Bank – 65/67 Easton Street (42.4.1-115 & 115.1) SE48-3409

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale

Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.

Representative Art Gasbarro, Nantucket Engineering & Survey
Rachael Freeman, Nantucket Islands Land Bank
Guthrie Diamond, Nantucket Islands Land Bank

Public None

Discussion (5:06) **Diamond** – The two parcels make up about ¼ acre; western half is a bordering vegetated wetland and the site has a history of significant manipulation. It has been maintained as a lawn and is visibly saturated after rain events. Our goal is to have them able to function as a park in time of summer and in the long-term enhance the wetland. Proposing to install small sections of fencing on North Beach Street and to block off the old driveway on Easton Street. Also, proposing two benches secured into the ground but not cemented. All work is outside the 25-foot buffer. We have an updated visual of the plans.

Golding – Asked who was responsible for cutting the privet down and should that have been permitted.

Diamond – Our maintenance crew did the cutting.

Golding – Feels it was done in an egregious way.

Engelbourg – The application indicates you will install River Birch; asked for different birches since River Birch isn’t native to Nantucket.

Diamond – That is part of the edits from the scaling down; no trees will be planted before summer.

Phillips – Asked to see the updated visual if it’s available now. A pipe was mentioned that drains onto North Beach Street; asked if that will be rerouted or another drainage system provided.

Diamond – We will investigate that more thoroughly when we have a more concrete plan.

Beale – He noticed where the trash receptacles would go.

Diamond – We are trying to enforce a pack-in/pack-out policy on Land Bank property.

Beale – A site like this with a picnic table would be inviting trash. He’s leery of this site and it is a site where less is more.

Erisman – Agrees with Mr. Beale. The public should have signage about the pack-in/pack-out policy.

Topham – Asked what will keep people in the park from wandering into the wetlands.

Diamond – Once we install the raingarden, we would mark it with educational signage; in the short term, we will stop mowing.

Erisman – It would be nice to have some signage about the proposed wetland restoration area.

Golding – He echoes the concerns about the trash; there should be receptacles there.

Diamond – If it were something we were to institute on all of our properties, emptying trash would become a full-time job.

Freeman – The Land Bank has been pretty strict about its pack-in/pack-out policy. Where trash is an issue on our property, we respond to the issue; we have property controls.

Beale – Having picnic tables is an attractive nuisance and invites trash. The benches are fine.

Engelbourg – Respects the policy, but realistically this site is less than 1/10th of a mile from Children’s Beach. Doesn’t think there’s a need for trash receptacles but they could be seasonal for high summer.

Erisman – Sees Mr. Engelbourg’s point; Nantucket’s trash bins aren’t very visible.

Carlson – Brigitte Petrocelli asked if the entrance can be on Easton Street versus North Beach Street; also concerned about a picnic table in close proximity with a liquor store across the street. Said she picks up trash along North Beach Street and doesn’t support the placement of a picnic table but okay with benches.

Freeman – Willing to reconsider a picnic table. However, if ConCom is going to require a trash bin, will ask for a continuation to discuss it with the Land Bank since it would require hiring someone to empty it.

Golding – A trash bin could be tied to protection of the wetland from pollution and protection of the scenic view. Asked if the Town could place a trash bin outside the park.

Topham – He thought there were trash bins close by on the North Beach Street side. At this point, he’d prefer no trash bin in there. Pack-in/pack-out has worked so far.

Freeman – We are fine without a picnic table on this site. Noted there is a picnic table, which is very popular, at 158 Orange Street and there is no trash problem there.

Erisman – Likes Mr. Carlson’s suggestion to monitor this through the 1st season.

Carlson – “ebd2011” said trash blowing into the resource area will affect wildlife. Ms. Petrocelli reiterated her opinion about having the entrance on Easton Street and no picnic table. Jayne Lane said that the comments about this area flooding often is not the case.

Golding – He walks down there nearly every day and noted this frequently floods and is popular with ducks.

Erisman – It is clearly within the mapped flood zone.

Topham – He worked on Easton Street for a number of years and agrees there is a lot of standing water on this site after a good rain.

Staff Typically, we haven’t pursued cutting that doesn’t disturb the ground and consider it as active maintenance of lawn area.

When we condition a trash bin for the project, it must tie to the protection of the wetland. Suggested that the Land Bank document any clean up during the summer and report back to the Commission.

Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Golding) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

5. *Nantucket Islands Land Bank – Clifford Street/Southern End of Lovers Lane (88-48) SE48-

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale

Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.

Representative Art Gasbarro, Nantucket Engineering & Survey
Rachael Freeman, Nantucket Islands Land Bank
Guthrie Diamond, Nantucket Islands Land Bank

Public None

Discussion (5:34) **Gasbarro** – This is for replacement of a set of beach access stairs between Fisherman’s and Nobadeer Beach. Resource is coastal dune and is a buffer zone project. Asked for a 2-week continuance.

Staff Don’t have a DEP file number.

Motion Continued to April 22nd.

Roll-call Vote N/A

6. Weissenberger – 84 Pocomo Road (15-40) SE48-3364 **Withdrawn**

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale

Discussion (5:37) None

Motion **Motion to Accept the withdrawal.** (made by: Beale) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

7. Trojah Nominee Trust – 26 Easy Street (13-22) SE48-3369

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale

Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.

Representative Art Gasbarro, Nantucket Engineering & Survey
Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP
Matt MacEachern, Emeritus Development

Public None

Discussion (5:38) **Gasbarro** – This is for work on Easy Street within buffer to, but across the street from a coastal bank, and land subject to coastal storm flowage. Currently, this site, which is less than 2500 sf, is made up of 258 sf of impervious material. Reviewed the refined plans that address concerns stated at the first hearing to include more pervious area; there will be also galley areas for water storage and infiltration. First floor is not residential so won’t be raised as high; explained how the structure will meet flood zone criteria.

Topham – He still has a problem with the foundation. The Harbormaster building was able to do a floating slab that allows flood water to flow under the building; that is an example of how flood water should be moving. Feels as proposed, flood waters would be pushed toward the Dreamland Theatre.

Golding – Agrees with Mr. Topham. It would be an unfortunate precedent to approve as is.

Erisman – This is one of the most noticeable flooding areas.

Gasbarro – The Harbormaster building 1st floor would be 4 feet higher than this. The site currently doesn't allow any flow of floodwater. Permitting this would not create a situation for permissive precedent.

Topham – The floating slab on top of piers is a successful detail that should be looked into and can be accomplished in the 2 feet you are proposing. On the Robert Young building, that detail was overlooked. We need to look at the impact of buildings as water level continues to rise.

Golding – He takes Mr. Gasbarro's point on precedent. However, Mr. Topham well-articulated the need for this policy.

Beale – Asked for further explanation on the floating slab on piers.

Topham – There would be helical piers set into the ground with the slab on top, allowing water to flow underneath and allow the water to soak into the ground. The Harbormaster building allows 1 foot; this would have 2 feet.

Gasbarro – He looked at the Harbormaster details; it is actually 2 feet to the slab. We essentially have a floating slab surrounded by a curtain wall. The harbormaster is located in a velocity zone and we are in a still water zone. There is a standard that the building would not be subject to storm damage and comply; we are looking at that as well. Lifting the structure up also impacts the stairs and ramp on a small site.

Golding – It might not be a velocity zone, but we know how quickly water surges in there. Asked why they aren't considering breakaway panels.

Gasbarro – Because of what happens with the bottom of the slab being close to the ground and being able to clean out that area when stuff and muck get stuck in there.

Topham – There is some screening we could do. Asked them to go back to look at some detail they could do to make this better.

LaFleur – Mr. Golding spoke to his question; if you raise the elevation, that would provide access to the area. Agrees there is probably some engineering detail that would allow water to flow under the building. Applauds the storage capacity being implemented.

Erisman – Agrees with Mr. Topham; this is a high flood area and doing the same doesn't improve the downtown situation in the face of sea-level rise. Recognizes the demonstrated improvements to the site. A few commissioners are looking for more detail or a comparison to the Harbormaster building; asked if they can provide that.

Reade – Requested a 2-week continuance.

Staff Have everything needed to close.

Motion Continued to April 22nd.

Roll-call Vote N/A

8. Sweet Meadow Sylvia Lane, LLC – 74 West Chester Street (41-478) SE48-3379 (**Cont. 04/22/2021**)

9. Holdgate – 26 Monomoy Road (54-146) SE48-3403

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale

Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.

Representative Paul Santos, Nantucket Surveyors
Dawn Hill Holdgate, owner.

Public None

Discussion (6:04) **Santos** – Within buffer to a bordering vegetated wetland. Since the original proposal, the project was downsized; reviewed the revised proposal.

Engelbourg – Asked for elaboration why the junipers south of the pool are being removed and having the love grass reinstalled.

Santos – As far as the proposal to mitigate the area, we propose a silt fence at the base of a stable slope

Holdgate – The Junipers are overgrown and more like low bushes, and we thought it would look more natural with love grass. We could maintain them if the Commission prefers.

Erisman – Junipers are important habitat trees.

Engelbourg – His concern is that these species have extensive root systems and removing them could impact the slope. He sees no issue with pruning them to look nice but wants to maintain the stable slope without erosion control.

Golding – He's pleased with the amended plan. Where they say pool and pool garage structure; you might want to revise that to a pool-equipment shed.

Holdgate – Could change the language to a shed, which would be on piers rather than a slab, and could maintain the Junipers. The pool was pulled back so as not to disturb the bank.

Erisman – If the Juniper become problematic, you could come back for an amended order.

Staff Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: LaFleur) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

10. Richard F. Larrabee, Jr. – 9 Millbrook Road (56-324) SE48-3410

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative David M. Haines, Haines Hydrogeologic Consulting
 Public Rachael Freeman, Nantucket Islands Land Bank
 Discussion (6:15) **Haines** – This was continued due to lack of the file number. The work started prematurely with a violation into the wetland; a silt fence has been installed. The well along the wetland boundary can be moved to the alternate location. There will be grading within the buffer for the mounded septic; that will be reseeded with a wetland mix to stabilize the area. Work will be supervised by our wetland specialist. The driveway is moved to the north of the existing house outside the buffer. The construction driveway will be removed and reseeded and stabilized.
Erisman – She wants them to use the alternate well location.
Freeman – The Land Bank owns 101 Hummock Pond road and are concerned about an existing wetland, which was part of a field behind 9 Millbrook Road; that has transitioned into a full pond with standing water. Also, there is an ashlar pond on 101 that used to be clear but is now brown. We want assurance drainage is being addressed.
Haines – There is a swale that flows toward the pond. As soon as fill is removed, we will restore the original hydrology. We will put a silt fence up toward the Land Bank pond until restoration is complete.
Erisman – Asked for monitoring given this situation.
Haines – In our wetland restoration protocol includes monitoring and documentation.

Staff Have everything needed to close.

Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Topham) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

11. *MLR3, LLC – 45 Shawkemo Road right of way (27-18) SE48-3411

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative Brian Madden, LEC Environmental
 Public None
 Discussion (6:24) **Madden** – This is for driveway improvements on an undeveloped lot within the buffer to a bordering vegetated wetland. A conservation restriction exists for 6.8 acres of the lot. Will install erosion control barriers on the north side; any expansion will be toward the south.
Beale – Asked the status of Shawkemo Road in terms of ownership.
Madden – In front of the property, it is within an easement, which includes 8 Wingspread; we have that property-owner’s signature.
Beale – Asked if work is proposed in the Town right of way.
Madden – This section is in the private right of way. We had conversations with the Planning staff of what would be acceptable.
Engelbourg – He doesn’t see the need for improvements in the travelled way; it appears completely passable as a typical Nantucket dirt road. He’s concerned about the driveway being within the 25-foot buffer. Asked why it isn’t more to the south. He doesn’t feel the burden of proof has been met for a waiver.
Madden – We reached out to NFD; there are access issues for getting into the site. We designed this to avoid any regrading of abutting slopes or change to drainage patterns. When this goes to construction, construction vehicles will also need the wider road for access to the site.
Engelbourg – There is no proposed construction with this NOI; he sees no need to allow the widening of the travelled way until we have details on what is proposed for the property.
Madden – When the time comes for site development, the development could be designed so as not to require an NOI. This is limited to the entry access point. The buyer wants to ensure access is there before closing.
Phillips – The point for a 10-foot-wide road is for access to more than one house on the road. Agrees we are in the dark here about what will happen there; we don’t have enough information. She doesn’t see alternatives analyses but there are conclusory comments. Asked if utility placement has been considered on the north side of Shawkemo Road. The site is very hilly and when we granted the Order of Conditions for the brush cutting, we had concerns.
Madden – The feedback from Planning was we don’t want to deviate from the rural country-road nature, so there won’t be any expansion to 10- or 12-foot wide. Utilities will be on the south away from the resource area.
Phillips – Potential need for a 12-foot wide road, doesn’t know why Planning would request that. She doesn’t feel we have enough information. You’re asking for something based on potential and she sees no need to widen it at all.
Beale – He was out there today, and the road is very satisfactory; going into the site it was wide enough for his full-sized jeep. The entry is right on the edge of the wetlands. Doesn’t think a case has been made for work within the 25-foot buffer

Topham – In some permitting he’s done, the NFD wanted a 12X15 box clear so their vehicle can pass; he’d like to see if there is some way not to do the cutting. If there is construction going forward, it is necessary to let a fire truck be able to go down the road.

Engelbourg – He’s not arguing against the NFD requirement. If the potential buyer wants to come in with an NOI, then we can permit, and condition based upon the requirements.

Golding – It reminds him of the debate when West Polpis Harbor was opened, and emergency services wanted a 12-foot wide bridge; there was a compromise to keep it rustic.

Topham – he would like to see the development plan before road improvements.

Carlson – R.J. Turcotte, Nantucket Land Council, Inc., wrote that 30 Shawkemo has not had trouble with equipment and utilities access just to the east along the same travelled way and Nantucket Land Council shares the Commissioners' concerns about improving the way.

Madden – Asked to continue 2 weeks.

Staff None
 Motion Continued to April 22nd.
 Roll-call Vote N/A

12. *ACK86QR, LLC – 86 Quidnet Road (21-102,103&69) SE48-3412

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative Brian Madden, LEC Environmental
 Public None

Discussion (6:47) **Madden** – This is a raze and rebuild of a single-family dwelling and septic upgrade; the resource areas are a coastal beach, coastal dune, primary frontal dune transferring to a vegetated upper dune. The new dwelling will be outside the 50-foot buffer and the septic will be upgraded with an I/A component and moved outside the 25-foot buffer. The disturbed areas will be revegetated. Believe this to be an improvement over existing and have submitted a waiver for work within the buffer.

Staff Have everything needed to close.
 Motion **Motion to Close.** (made by: Phillips) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

III. PUBLIC MEETING

C. Requests for Determination of Applicability:

1. Turk Family, LLC – 15 Marsh Hawk Lane (56-113.6)

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative Ryan Maxwell, Bracken Engineering
 Discussion (6:51) **Maxwell** – This is for a sewer connection within the 100-foot buffer to two wetland systems. The existing septic will be abandoned.

Staff Recommend issue as a Negative 3 allowing the work NOI.
 Motion **Motion to Issue as a Negative 3.** (made by: Beale) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

2. 6 Sylvia Lane Partners, LLC - 3 Sylvia Lane (44.4.4-11)

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative Ryan Maxwell, Bracken Engineering
 Discussion (6:53) **Maxwell** – For sewer connection within land subject to coastal storm flowage.

Staff Recommend issue as a Negative 2 for work within a protected area.
 Motion **Motion to Issue as a Negative 2.** (made by: Topham) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

3. Brian J. Mona & Mona M. Carey – 21 Folger Avenue (80-42)

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative Ryan Maxwell, Bracken Engineering
 Discussion (6:55) **Maxwell** – This is also a sewer connection; the existing septic will be abandoned. The wetland system is on the other side of Folger Avenue.

Staff Recommend issue as a Negative 3.
 Motion **Motion to Issue as a Negative 3.** (made by: Engelbourg) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

D. Minor Modifications:

1. Sand D. and Thomas D.C Brown & Little – 9 North Avenue (42.4.4-58) SE48-3234

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Site and topographical plans, photos, requisite departmental reports and correspondence.
 Representative Art Gasbarro, Nantucket Engineering & Survey
 Discussion (6:56) **Gasbarro** – This is to move the driveway farther from the wetland with peastone gravel and install stepping stones from the driveway to the two structures and a small bluestone patio; there would be a gravel walkway around the structures to absorb flood waters.
 Staff Have everything needed to issue the minor modification.
 Motion **Motion to issue the minor modification.** (made by: Phillips) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried 6-0//Beale, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye// Engelbourg recused

E. Certificates of Compliance:

1. Sweet Meadow Sylvia Lane, LLC –74 West Chester Street (41-478) SE48-3017 (**Cont. 04/22/2021**)

F. Orders of Condition:

1. Holdgate – 26 Monomoy Road (54-146) SE48-3403

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Draft Order of Conditions
 Staff Will add Cond 24 that existing Junipers are to remain and allowed to be maintained.
 Discussion (7:00) **Golding** – Change the permit overview from construction of a full garage to construction of a pool equipment shed.
 Motion **Motion to Approve as amended.** (made by: Beale) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

2. Nantucket Islands Land Bank – 65/67 Easton Street (42.4.1-115 & 115.1) SE48-3409

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Draft Order of Conditions
 Staff Read a proposed a Finding about responsible use of public areas within a buffer zone.
 Discussion (7:03) **Beale** – Asked if we agreed on no picnic table.
Erisman – They agreed to eliminate the picnic table and agreed to monitoring.
Golding – To the point if a picnic table impacts the environment; doesn't think it needs to be added since we made our point. Still upset about the cutting of the privet. He's agreeable to the idea of a Finding.
Engelbourg – He's okay with that suggestion. We do need a condition about the monitoring.
Erisman – We need monitoring because they are allowing the wetland to revegetate, monitoring would include ensure the public stays out.
Rachael Freeman – Someone will go by the property to pick up trash every day before 9.
Erisman – That person can snap a photo or make a note how much trash they picked up.
Engelbourg – The condition memorializes the request.

Motion **Motion to Approve as amended.** (made by: Golding) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

3. Richard F. Larrabee, Jr. – 9 Millbrook Road (56-324) SE48-3410

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation Draft Order of Conditions
 Staff Added Condition 21 requiring the applicant to appear before the commission if disturbance to the wetlands is noted. We've met on site with the Land Bank to look at the pond.
 Discussion (7:11) **Erisman** – Suggested a component that if we notice issues in the wetland, they have to provide restoration.
Phillips – She was surprised by Ms. Freeman's comments about the changes to the pond on Land Bank property.
 Motion **Motion to Approve as amended.** (made by: Topham) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

4. ACK86QR, LLC – 86 Quidnet Road (21-102,103&69) SE48-3412

Sitting Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Topham, Engelbourg, Phillips, Beale
 Documentation None
 Staff He didn't do an order for this. Asked for specific concerns or comments.
 Discussion (7:18) None
 Motion Continued to April 22.
 Roll-call Vote N/A

2. Other Business:

1. Approval of Minutes 3/25/2021, 3/29/2021, and 3/31/2021:

Motion **Motion to Approve as drafted.** (made by: Golding) (seconded)
 Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

2. Reports:
 - a. CRAC, Golding: joint meeting with ARCDIS is scheduled.
3. Monitoring Reports: None
4. Commissioners Comment
 - a. Golding – Asked for a definition of maintenance.
 - b. Golding – He brought up the subject of pilings at 36 Lily Street last week; since then he’s had input from abutters asking if the wetland boundaries should be reconfirmed.
Carlson – The steel pieces set are outside the 100-foot buffer. About the resource delineation, a plan has gone to Historic District Commission for a revision in structures. Any future applications will need to show the wetland delineation.
 - c. Engelbourg – At our last meeting, he related the idea of looking at protected interests covered by the regulations in the face of the impact by climate change. The one potential interest he identified is carbon sequestration to be added.
Erisman – When dealing with saltmarshes and eel grass beds, they have a tremendous ability to deal with carbon sequestration.
Carlson – Asked for background information on that. Also looking to adding coastal resiliency; it couples well with carbon sequestration.
5. Administrator/Staff Reports
 - a. Trying to get other stuff done and to Commissioners for discussion at the next meeting.

3. Adjournment

Motion **Motion to Adjourn at 7:31 p.m.** (made by: Golding) (seconded)
Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Beale, Engelbourg, Erisman, Golding, LaFleur, Phillips, and Topham-aye

Submitted by:
Terry L. Norton