



be defined in the survey well enough so that respondents could choose among alternatives such that the Work Group could assemble them rationally. According to Mary Longacre, questions with multiple choices are better than simple yes/no questions. Also, questions that allow for a gradation of response, the Likert Scale, are also good: “on a scale of one to ten...”. It was decided that the next meeting would be dedicated to assembling the survey and sub-group members were asked to create several suitable questions for their respective areas. Also discussed was how best to select potential respondents: residents, those who work in the area, and even those who live elsewhere but visit it regularly. This then led to a question of notification, specifically addressed post cards or letters, or public notifications in the newspaper and the various social media dedicated to Nantucket. Henry Terry observed that the Director of Planning should be asked for input on survey methodology.

With no further questions, Henry Terry suggested that a move to adjourn was appropriate. Mary Anne Easley offered a motion to adjourn, which was seconded by Alison King, and passed unanimously.

**Adjournment** came at 4:10 pm.

For reference: Subcommittee composition.

2. Land Use: Liz Almodobar and Mary Anne Easley
3. Housing: Marsha Fader and Mickey Rowland
4. Economic Development: Alison King and Mary Longacre
5. Natural and Cultural Resources: Liz Almodobar and Marsha Fader
6. Open Space and Recreation Plan: Mary Anne Easley and Henry Terry
7. Services and Facilities: Regen Horchow and Mickey Rowland
8. Circulation; Mary Longacre and Lee Saperstein

Lee W. Saperstein, Secretary, [saperste@mst.edu](mailto:saperste@mst.edu).